

Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff

Premarket Assessment of Pediatric Medical Devices

Document issued on: May 14, 2004

The draft of this document was issued on July 24, 2003

For questions regarding this document, contact Joy Samuels-Reid, M.D. at (301) 594-1287.

For questions regarding products regulated by the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), contact Edward Tabor, M.D. at (301) 827-3518.



**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Devices and Radiological Health
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research**

Preface

Public Comment

Written comments and suggestions may be submitted at any time for Agency consideration to the Division of Dockets Management, Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061, (HFA-305), Rockville, MD, 20852. Alternatively, electronic comments may be submitted to <http://www.fda.gov/dockets/ecomments>. When submitting comments, please refer to Docket No. 2003D-0319. Comments may not be acted upon by the Agency until the document is next revised or updated.

Additional Copies

Additional copies are available from the Internet at:

[http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/\[specific address\]](http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/[specific address]) or

<http://www.fda.gov/cber/mdufma/mdufma.htm>, or to receive this document via your fax machine, call the CDRH Facts-On-Demand system at 800-899-0381 or 301-827-0111 from a touch-tone telephone. Press 1 to enter the system. At the second voice prompt, press 1 to order a document. Enter the document number (**1220**) followed by the pound sign (#). Follow the remaining voice prompts to complete your request.

Table of Contents

I.	Background.....	1
	The Least Burdensome Approach.....	2
II.	Stakeholder Input.....	3
III.	Objectives.....	4
IV.	Pediatric Population and Use.....	4
	A. Definition of Pediatric Population Subgroups.....	4
	B. Definition of Pediatric Use.....	5
V.	General Principles in Medical Device Evaluation.....	5
VI.	Preclinical Studies.....	6
VII.	Clinical Studies.....	6
VIII.	Unique Host Characteristics.....	9
IX.	Labeling.....	12
	A. Basic Elements of Labeling.....	12
	B. Pediatric Information.....	14
	C. Special Considerations.....	14
X.	Protections for Pediatric Populations in Clinical Trials.....	15
	A. Relevant Definitions.....	15
	B. Study Design Considerations.....	17
	C. The Role of the Institutional Review Board (IRB).....	18
	D. Consent and Assent.....	18
XI.	Other Resources.....	20

Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff

Premarket Assessment of Pediatric Medical Devices

This guidance represents the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

I. Background

FDA reviews pediatric devices through all of its premarket pathways, including premarket notification (510(k)), premarket approval (PMA), biological license application (BLA), and humanitarian device exemption (HDE). A manufacturer may show substantial equivalence to a predicate device, or may seek marketing approval by demonstrating with reasonable assurance that the device is safe and effective for its intended use. Clinical evaluation may be needed to support marketing of a device indicated for pediatric use. If such studies are needed, they should be conducted in accordance with the investigational device exemptions (IDE) regulation (21 CFR 812). FDA has jurisdiction over significant risk studies, whereas Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) have oversight responsibility for non-significant risk studies.

On October 26, 2002, the Medical Device User Fee and Modernization Act of 2002 (MDUFMA) was signed into law. Among other things, MDUFMA amends the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the Act) by adding several new provisions intended to promote the development of safe and effective pediatric devices and to protect this vulnerable patient population during the course of clinical trials involving such products. This guidance, as well as a collateral guidance on procedures for ensuring that pediatric

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

expertise is available to FDA Advisory Panels when appropriate,¹ will help the agency achieve the intent of the pediatric provisions of MDUFMA.

MDUFMA also requires FDA to request the Institute of Medicine (IOM) to conduct a study of whether the existing postmarket surveillance provisions of the Act provide "adequate safeguards regarding the use of devices in pediatric populations." Within four years of enactment of MDUFMA, FDA is to submit a report to Congress concerning IOM's findings and any recommendations we have "for administrative or legislative changes to the system of postmarket surveillance" for pediatric devices. Representatives from FDA and IOM held several discussions to formulate the scope and objectives of the study, and IOM is currently engaged in planning activities related to its conduct.

FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

This guidance should be used in conjunction with other device-specific guidances to help ensure that medical devices intended for use in the pediatric population provide reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness.

The Least Burdensome Approach

The issues identified in this guidance document represent those that we believe should be addressed before your device can be marketed. In developing the guidance, we carefully considered the relevant statutory criteria for Agency decision-making. We also considered the burden that may be incurred in your attempt to follow the guidance and address the issues we have identified. We believe that we have considered the least burdensome approach to resolving the issues presented in the guidance document. If, however, you believe that there is a less burdensome way to address the issues, you should follow the procedures outlined in the "**A Suggested Approach to Resolving Least Burdensome Issues**" document. It is available on our Center web page at <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/modact/leastburdensome.html>.

¹ "Pediatric Expertise for Advisory Panels; Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff" can be found at <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/ode/guidance/1208.html>.

II. Stakeholder Input

In developing the draft and final versions of this guidance, FDA considered comments from its stakeholders. On February 4, 2003, FDA published a Federal Register notice entitled, “Medical Device User Fee and Modernization Act of 2003, Establishment of a Public Docket” (68 FR 5643)(hereinafter referred to as the MDUFMA Docket). In this FR notice, the agency identified several statutory provisions for which FDA was particularly interested in receiving stakeholder input, and this pediatric provision² was one of them. While no comments were submitted to the MDUFMA Docket on this topic, three comments were submitted in response to the draft of this guidance.³

One comment questioned why the guidance did not reference the least burdensome provisions of the act⁴ or encourage the use of early collaboration meetings to help ensure that the least burdensome approach is followed in determining safety and effectiveness for pediatric devices. The agency acknowledges the omission. We have revised the guidance to refer to the least burdensome provisions and to encourage device sponsors to consult with the reviewing division either through the informal pre-IDE process or early collaboration meetings.

Another comment asked how devices that are already legally marketed for pediatrics with an upper age limit of 18 would be affected by the guidance since this document extends the definition to age 21. As stated in the guidance, the agency recognizes that the age descriptions presented here are somewhat arbitrary and that other factors, such as the subject’s weight, body size, and physiological and neurological development may be more appropriate indicators than chronological age. The intent of this guidance is to identify those issues that should be considered by sponsors conducting clinical trials involving pediatrics to ensure that the studies are appropriately designed and that measures are taken to protect this vulnerable patient population. Similarly, the agency hopes this guidance will help manufacturers develop clear labeling for their products and take into account the above physiological and neurological factors, when appropriate.

Finally, two comments sought clarification of how state and federal law pertaining to the age of consent relates to the clinical definition of the pediatric population put forth in the guidance. The agency has revised the guidance to clarify this distinction.

² Section 213 of MDUFMA.

³ “Premarket Assessment of Pediatric Medical Devices; Draft Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff” was released for comment on July 24, 2003.

⁴ Sections 513(a)(1)(D) and 513(a)(30)(D)(ii) of the act.

III. Objectives

1. To help define the pediatric population and pediatric use for medical devices.
2. To help identify the types of information needed to provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of medical devices intended for use in the pediatric population.
3. To help define the guiding principles and protections sponsors should consider for pediatric subjects in device clinical trials.

IV. Pediatric Population and Use

A. Definition of Pediatric Population Subgroups

For purposes of this guidance, we are proposing the following ranges of pediatric subpopulations to be used as a guide for manufacturers in developing medical devices:

Table 1 Age Ranges of Pediatric Subgroups

Pediatric Subgroup	Approximate Age Range
Newborn (neonate)	from birth to 1 month of age
Infant	greater than 1 month to 2 years of age
Child	greater than 2 to 12 years of age
Adolescent	greater than 12 to 21 years of age

Although the upper age limit used to define the pediatric population varies among experts, including adolescents up to the age of 21 is consistent with the definition found in several sources.^{5,6,7} Given the scope of medical devices and the impact that a device could have on a growing adolescent, as well as the effect growth could have on the device, we believe that including the upper age limit identified above may be useful for some devices and device clinical trials. The agency recognizes, however, that the descriptions are somewhat arbitrary and that, in fact, the subject's weight, body size,

⁵ Berhman RE, Kliegman R, Arvin AM, Nelson WE. Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics, 15th Ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company; 1996.

⁶ Rudolph AM, et al. Rudolph's Pediatrics, 21st Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2002.

⁷ Avery MD, First LR. Pediatric Medicine, 2nd Ed. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1994.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

physiological development, neurological development, and neuromuscular coordination may often be more appropriate indicators than chronological age.

Additional pediatric subpopulations include:

- low birth weight describes newborns less than 2.5 Kg
- very low birth weight describes newborns less than 1.5 Kg
- preadolescent age group typically ranges from 11 to 13 years.

Although these pediatric subpopulations are not included in Table 1, device labeling and clinical studies should address, as applicable, any issues that pertain to these or other pediatric subpopulations, such as low birth weight newborns.

It is important to note the distinction between the clinical age limit of 21 described in this guidance and the legal age for consent. For the purposes of informed consent, manufacturers must comply with all federal and state regulations governing age and mental capacity.⁹ A detailed discussion of informed consent issues as they pertain to the pediatric population is presented in Section X.

B. Definition of Pediatric Use

For purposes of this guidance, FDA will consider a pediatric use to be any use of a medical device in a pediatric population, as defined above, in which there is a primary pediatric indication. General indications, where considerable pediatric application is anticipated, are also included in this definition.

V. General Principles in Medical Device Evaluation

In general, FDA assesses safety and effectiveness of devices in the pediatric population using the same regulatory bases, scientific approaches, and processes we use to assess all devices. Device considerations that are also germane to pediatric devices include, but are not limited to, the following preclinical and clinical testing as well as other regulatory controls:

- biocompatibility, including toxicity and carcinogenicity
- sterility and infection control

⁹ See 21 CFR § 50.55 Requirements for permission by parents or guardians and for assent by children.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

- environmental factors related to location of use, such as electromagnetic fields and radiation
- design controls and good manufacturing practices (GMP)

Because the pediatric population represents a particularly vulnerable group, specific measures are needed to protect the safety of pediatric study subjects. Adult devices may be inappropriate for use in pediatric subjects for a variety of reasons, or may require specific design changes and/or specific labeling to accommodate their use in pediatric subjects. We recommend that you consider the following when you develop devices or plan a clinical trial for devices intended for pediatric subjects:

- height
- weight
- growth and development
- disease or condition
- hormonal influences
- anatomical and physiological differences from the adult population
- activity and maturity level
- immune status.

VI. Preclinical Studies

Given the wide spectrum of devices, the necessary preclinical testing may vary considerably. FDA may request bench or animal data depending on the type of device, the target population, and the extent of existing knowledge about the device. In many cases, FDA has developed device-specific guidance documents that will provide information on the types of pre-clinical testing that should be completed either to support marketing or to support initiation of a clinical trial. We recommend that you contact the reviewing division or visit the CDRH or CBER websites for a complete listing of relevant guidances.

VII. Clinical Studies

As is true for medical devices in general, FDA does not believe that clinical data will be necessary to demonstrate safety and effectiveness for all devices intended for pediatric populations. The agency recognizes that the amount and type of evidence required will depend on a number of factors, including the nature of the device, what is already known about the product in the adult population (if relevant), what is known or can be extrapolated about the device to the pediatric population, and the underlying disease or condition being treated. In some cases, well-designed bench and animal testing will be sufficient to evaluate

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

the device. In others, clinical data may be needed to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of the device.

FDA is committed to following the least burdensome principles as described in the guidance document entitled, “The Least Burdensome Provisions of the FDA Modernization Act of 1997: Concept and Principles.”¹⁰ This commitment applies equally to pediatric devices. We recommend that sponsors of pediatric device clinical trials take advantage of the informal pre-IDE process¹¹ and/or the more formal early collaboration meetings¹² to obtain feedback on their investigational protocol before initiating the trial and to discuss the least burdensome regulatory path.

If it is determined that clinical data are needed, it may be that the course of the disease and the device's effects are similar in adult and pediatric patients. In such a situation, the pediatric indication may be supported by the adult data with limited additional safety data in the pediatric population. In other cases, the prognosis, severity, or symptoms of the disease in the adult population may be significantly different than in the pediatric population, the device's effects may not be well understood, or there may be risks specific to the pediatric population necessitating clinical data in this population.

In some situations, devices that are already approved and indicated for adult populations are modified for pediatric uses. To support the modifications, the manufacturer should conduct a risk analysis of the changes and develop methods to adequately address or mitigate the identified risks. This may require verification testing alone, or validation testing may be needed in the intended pediatric population.

Finally, because weight, body size, and physiological and neurological development all vary among pediatric subpopulations and change as the child grows, clinical data may be needed to assess safety and effectiveness in the various subgroups. In other cases, it may be possible to extrapolate from one group to another, thus limiting or obviating the need for clinical data. When clinical trials in a pediatric population are necessary to support a marketing application, these trials should follow existing scientific approaches and methods to ensure the safety of subjects.

¹⁰ This guidance is available at: www.fda.gov/cdrh/ode/guidance/1332.html.

¹¹ For information on the pre-IDE Program, see the documents at: www.fda.gov/cdrh/d951.html and www.fda.gov/cdrh/ode/d99-1.html.

¹² For information on the early collaboration meetings, see the guidance at: www.fda.gov/cdrh/ode-p98-3.html

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

In summary, FDA believes clinical data are appropriate when any of the following circumstances apply:

- supporting information from sources, such as pre-clinical bench or animal testing, literature, or adult clinical trials, are inadequate to establish safety and effectiveness for the pediatric indication
- adult data are inadequate to predict pediatric risks and adverse events
- pediatric data are needed for validation of design modifications
- pediatric data are needed to develop an age-appropriate treatment regimen.

When the above circumstances exist, clinical data from pediatric subjects help ensure that manufacturers:

- design the device properly for the intended population
- perform accurate risk assessments
- provide clear instructions for use.

Compared to drugs, devices present additional challenges due to the range of technology they incorporate and their varying applications. It is difficult to outline a prescriptive approach that would be appropriate for evaluating all devices. For example, some devices are relatively simple in terms of design and use, such as blood pressure cuffs and bilirubinometers. FDA generally recommends only pre-clinical testing to support adult and pediatric use of these types of products. Other devices may present additional risks, e.g., intraosseous access devices. For devices of this kind, the supporting information included performance studies in animals and cadavers, clinical experience in the adult population, and a clinical trial in a pediatric population. The study design addressed issues relating to differences in bone density and depth of penetration requirements in children of various ages and between children and adults. The study also addressed issues related to the growth plate and epiphyseal closure in children.

If clinical data are needed, other sources of data, such as published studies and reports and actual use information, may help reduce the burden. FDA advises sponsors to consider these alternative sources of information when designing their clinical trial. Sponsors should also consider if adequate data may be gathered by including pediatric patients as well as adults in the original studies conducted on the device. Finally, the type and extent of the studies needed, especially in different age groups, is often best decided on a case-by-case basis. FDA encourages you to discuss your clinical trial plan with the reviewing division.

FDA recommends that when developing medical devices for pediatric use, you consider the following:

Risk Assessment and Mitigation

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Because the risk posed by the device may vary depending on the particular pediatric subgroup, you should determine the types of risk for each targeted pediatric subgroup by conducting a risk assessment. When assessing risk, you should consider the following critical factors:

- age and degree of physiological maturity of the child
- nature and natural history of the clinical condition to be treated
- presence of complicating clinical conditions
- safety and effectiveness of the device that may have been demonstrated in older patients, or that is expected on the basis of other clinical or preclinical investigations
- likely duration of device use and its impact on the growth and development of the child.

Using the results of the risk analysis, you should develop methods to address or mitigate the identified risks. In many cases, well-designed bench and animal studies may accomplish this. In others, clinical data will be necessary.

Pediatric Subgroups

If clinical data are needed to support a pediatric indication, you should make every effort to gather data that adequately addresses each targeted pediatric subgroup. In some cases, the expected benefit and safety can be determined without separate studies in each subgroup. That is, it may be extrapolated from one age group to another. In other cases, such as with neonates, clinical data gathered specifically in that subgroup will likely be needed. You should be prepared to provide data for each targeted subpopulation or a justification as to why it is either not needed or can be extrapolated.

VIII. Unique Host Characteristics

We recommend that you specify relevant subsets of the pediatric population in your intended use and indications rather than pooling them all into a single pediatric population. You should address the factors discussed below with respect to your device design, clinical study design, and labeling for each population. We recommend that you address these factors in devices specific to pediatrics and in general use devices with pediatric indications, where there may be unique issues when used in the pediatric population.

Age

As discussed in Section IV, the identification of specific age limits for pediatric subpopulations is somewhat arbitrary. Characteristics such as the subject's weight, body

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

size, physiological and neurological development, and neuromuscular coordination may be more appropriate when deciding upon the appropriate subpopulation for a device. For example, the use of cochlear implants in certain pediatric subgroups may not be advisable due to the size of the implant or may be inappropriate due to the stage of the neurological development of the child. Therefore, when designing the clinical trial or the device labeling, age may be used as a preliminary approximator, but other factors should be considered to further define the appropriate population.

Size

We recommend that you determine if design modifications are necessary based on subject size (e.g., weight, height, body mass, or surface area).

Growth and Development

We recommend that you consider the following:

- impact of growth on the device and vice versa
- if the child will outgrow the device, and if so, at what rate
- if adjustments to the device will be necessary
- if further intervention may be needed
- impact of technological advances in the device (e.g., will the device be easily upgraded?)

Body Habitus

We recommend that you consider the following:

- normal as well as abnormal variations in the targeted pediatric group
- normal anatomic landmarks for each subgroup and anticipated deviations based on the targeted population
- impact of anomalies, particularly congenital anomalies.

Developmental Milestones

We recommend that you consider the following:

- impact of the device on the child
- activity level of the child
- ambulatory status of the child
- maturity level of the child
- stage of puberty (for example, in the pre-adolescent and adolescent, breast bud development may influence device placement).

Pathophysiology

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

We recommend that you determine the impact of the disease/condition on the pediatric patient and take into account the following:

- maturity or immaturity of various organ systems, including the immune system
- impact of materials, chemicals, electromagnetic radiation, electrical stimulation, and other agents
- hormonal influences, for example, effects of puberty in the preadolescent and adolescent population
- short-term and long-term effects of device use.

Behavioral factors

We recommend that you consider the behavior expected in the targeted pediatric subgroup and anticipate the potential impact of the device. For example, an adolescent with a learning disorder may not be able to interface very well with certain devices and may require additional help or an alternative therapy.

Psychosocial factors

We recommend that you consider the psychosocial factors of the pediatric subgroups. For some pediatric devices or device trials, the family structure and environment, including how supportive the various family members are and who the primary caregiver will be, are important factors to consider.

Human Factors

Each pediatric subgroup will have different needs; therefore, you should consider these in the design and use of the device. We recommend that you consider the following for each targeted subgroup:

- invasiveness of the device
- optimal size of the device
- manual dexterity and strength required
- resistance to damage from wear and tear
- portability
- clarity of the labeling
- ease of use
- level of interaction required for the proper functioning and use of the device
- age-appropriate usability of the user interface
- age and maturity level needed to safely and effectively operate the device, particularly in adolescents and especially with regard to placement, compliance, and use of the device.

Surgical Factors for Implantable Devices

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

For each targeted pediatric subgroup, we recommend that you assess:

- the surgical site and anatomical landmarks
- surgical technique and level of expertise needed
- short-term and long-term effects of the surgery and the device
- immune status and update immunizations, if indicated
- special issues pertaining to combination products, such as the possibility of drug/device interactions
- the need for antibiotic prophylaxis.

IX. Labeling

Labeling requirements for medical devices are defined by regulation.¹³ In general, the goal of medical device labeling is to provide the user with the following information:

- What the device is
- What the device does
- When the device should and should not be used
- How the device should be used to achieve maximum benefit and minimal risk.

To this end, we recommend that labeling for devices intended for use in pediatric subgroups incorporate the information discussed below.

A. Basic Elements of Labeling

The following list identifies some of the basic elements of labeling and discusses ways to address these elements for pediatric populations.

Device Description

Many devices and device accessories come in different models, sizes, shapes, and materials, as well as different modes of operation and different levels of sophistication requiring varying degrees of user interface. The labeling should describe various options recommended for use in pediatric subgroups and, when feasible, present these options in tabular form by age, weight, or other appropriate criteria.

Indications for Use

If your device is intended for use in a pediatric population, you should clearly define the indication(s) as well as the target population in the labeling. The indication may

¹³ For premarket notification submissions, see 21 CFR 807.87(e). For PMAs and HDEs, see 21 CFR 814.20(b)(10) and 21 CFR 814.104(b)(4)(ii).

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

be general (e.g., cut, coagulate, ablate), or specific (e.g., treatment of vesicoureteral reflux). The target population may be broad (e.g., children and adults of all ages) or narrow (e.g., infants between the ages of 6-9 months). You should be prepared to explain and, when necessary, support the indication and targeted population(s) with appropriate data.

Contraindications, Warnings, and Precautions

Contraindications, warnings, and precautions, respectively, alert the potential user to situations where the device should absolutely not be used; data suggest that there is increased risk associated with use of the device; or data are lacking to define risk/benefit for a particular aspect of use or in a particular subgroup. Given the vulnerability of the pediatric population and specific host concerns described above, it is especially important that the contraindications, warnings, and precautions in labeling provide clear descriptions and well-defined actions and consequences. Contraindications, warnings, and precautions for devices intended for pediatric use should clearly address the risks associated with age, size, and maturity of the pediatric subject and alert the user to specific hazards associated with the use of the device in the target population.

Adverse Events

Another key element of labeling is a discussion of device-related adverse events that have been reported from clinical investigations or literature pertaining to the use of medical devices. Device-related adverse events could be captured in many different ways: by severity, frequency, indication, gender, etc. If your device is intended for use in pediatrics, you should make a concerted effort to obtain and report the frequency of device-related adverse events according to the various pediatric subgroups in which the device will be used. This information will assist health care providers in assessing age-specific risk profiles and may be useful in mitigating the risks.

Clinical Studies

As discussed above, gathering clinical information directly on the targeted pediatric subgroup may be necessary. The importance of summarizing that information in labeling warrants special attention. Your labeling should present information in a way that is clear, objective, and meaningful. You should report study results in a format that allows the user to recognize easily substantive differences in performance between children and adults and between various pediatric subgroups. Labeling should summarize these data using appropriate qualitative or quantitative analyses, recognizing that subgroups may be too small to show statistical significance using standard tests.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Instructions for use

Understanding how to use a medical device correctly can be as important as the design, manufacturing, and testing of the device. Instructions may be provided for the health care practitioner, as is the case with prescription products, or the patient, as is the case with over-the-counter products, or both. Labeling provided for the healthcare practitioner or caregiver should be written to provide the user with instructions for safe and effective use. This includes addressing anatomic, developmental, educational, and other age-related factors in this section of the labeling to help ensure proper use of the device and prevent avoidable device-related adverse events. Any instructions provided specifically for the pediatric patient should be age-appropriate with respect to written language and other visual and auditory tools.

B. Pediatric Information

You should submit appropriate information and summarize it adequately to ensure that labeling is satisfactory for **all** pediatric subgroups or **specific pediatric subgroups** (e.g., all pediatric subgroups except neonates) targeted in your intended use.

If you did not include a particular subgroup, or did not include sufficient numbers in that subgroup in your clinical trials, **and** there is reason to expect that there may be differences in safety and effectiveness among subgroups, your labeling should indicate that the device was not tested in the particular subgroup or that there is insufficient information to establish safety and effectiveness for that subgroup.

We recommend that you describe the specific age ranges rather than using “pediatric” in a broad sense. Please see **Table 1 Age Ranges of Pediatric Subgroups**.

C. Special Considerations

We recommend that you ensure the instructions for use are clear, take into consideration different pediatric subgroups, and address any special issues, where appropriate. For example, including a precaution to consider future breast bud development in female pediatric subjects when selecting a site on the chest for placement of a port or catheter may be appropriate. Another example may be including a precaution that provides advice on the appropriate activity level for the child, especially with respect to participation in certain sports.

X. Protections for Pediatric Populations in Clinical Trials

The pediatric population represents a vulnerable subgroup of research subjects. Therefore, it is important that special measures be taken to protect the rights, safety, and welfare of the pediatric study participant. Every effort should be made to ensure that adequate protections are provided to these subjects during the conduct of clinical trials. The roles and responsibilities of the clinical investigator, sponsor, and the Institutional Review Board are crucial in protecting the rights and welfare of the pediatric subject.

FDA regulations governing IRBs identify children as subjects who may be vulnerable and subject to coercion. In such cases, IRBs must determine that additional safeguards are in place to protect their rights and welfare (21 CFR Part 56.111(b)). FDA's guidance document entitled, **Guidance for Institutional Review Boards and Clinical Investigators**, <http://www.fda.gov/oc/ohrt/irbs/default.htm> addresses issues regarding informed consent and the assent of children. The basic requirements of 21 CFR §50.20 regarding informed consent apply to the pediatric population. See also the guidance entitled, **E11 Clinical Investigation of Medicinal Products in the Pediatric Population**, <http://www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/ichclinped.pdf>.

We recommend that you consult 21 CFR Part 50 - Subpart D Additional Safeguards for Children in Clinical Investigations (discussed below) and other referenced resources dealing with informed consent, assent, permission, financial remuneration, direct benefit, and minimal risk.

A. Relevant Definitions

The following terms are defined in FDA's and the Department of Health and Human Services' human subject protection regulations and guidance:

Assent means a child's affirmative agreement to participate in a clinical investigation. Mere failure to object may not be construed as assent. 21 CFR 50.3(n).

Children means persons who have not attained the legal age for consent to treatments or procedures involved in the clinical investigations, under the applicable law of the jurisdiction in which the clinical investigation will be conducted. 21 CFR 50.3(o).

Emancipated Minor: A legal status conferred upon persons who have not yet attained the age of legal competency as defined by state law, but who are entitled to treatment as if

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

they had by virtue of assuming adult responsibilities, such as self-support, marriage, or procreation.¹⁴

Family member means any one of the following legally competent persons: Spouse; parents; children (including adopted children); brothers, sisters, and spouses of brothers and sisters; and any individual related by blood or affinity whose close association with the subject is the equivalent of a family relationship. 21 CFR 50.3(m).

Guardian means an individual who is authorized under applicable state or local law to consent on behalf of a child to general medical care when general medical care includes participation in research. For purposes of subpart D of 21 CFR Part 50, a guardian also means an individual who is authorized to consent on behalf of a child to participate in research. 21 CFR 50.3(s).

Legally authorized representative means an individual or judicial or other body authorized under applicable law to consent on behalf of a prospective subject to the subject's participation in the procedure(s) involved in the research. 21 CFR 50.3(l).

Mature Minor: Persons who have not reached adulthood (as defined by state law) but who may be treated as an adult for certain purposes (e.g., consenting to medical care). Note that a mature minor is not necessarily an emancipated minor.¹⁵

Minimal risk means that the probability and magnitude of harm or discomfort anticipated in the research are not greater in and of themselves than those ordinarily encountered in daily life or during the performance of routine physical or psychological examinations or tests. 21 CFR 50.3(k).

Parent means a child's biological or adoptive parent. 21 CFR 50.3(p).

Permission means the agreement of parent(s) or guardian to the participation of their child or ward in a clinical investigation. Permission must be obtained in compliance with subpart B of 21 CFR Part 50 and must include the elements of informed consent described in Sec. 50.25. 21 CFR 50.3(r).

¹⁴ IRB Guidebook: Chapter VI Special Classes of Subjects,
http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/irb/irb_chapter6.htm#g4

¹⁵ IRB Guidebook: Chapter VI Special Classes of Subjects,
http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/irb/irb_chapter6.htm#g4

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

B. Study Design Considerations

As discussed above, FDA recommends that sponsors identify the subgroup(s) in which to evaluate the device, unless the device is intended for the entire pediatric population. When deciding whether a clinical trial is needed for a particular pediatric population, the following points are important to consider:

- Does the research have an identifiable prospect of direct benefit to the individual child participant? Can that benefit be achieved through alternative means?
- Does the research have an identifiable prospect of risk to the individual child participant? What safeguards are proposed to minimize these risks? When procedures involving greater than minimal risk to children are anticipated, are convincing scientific and ethical justifications given?

It is important when designing the study that every attempt is made to anticipate and reduce possible study hazards. Investigators should be knowledgeable of all relevant pre-clinical data and be properly trained and experienced in the pediatric population and medical procedures used for this population.

We recommend that you ensure appropriate pediatric expertise is reasonably available during the entire trial. We also recommend all clinical sites have staff and equipment appropriate for pediatric care. Your clinical sites should also have pediatric-specific emergency measures, such as properly sized oxygen, suction, and resuscitation devices as well as medications to treat severe anaphylaxis and adverse reactions (see also <http://www.ems-c.org>.)

Because many procedures used during clinical trials are often new to the child, every effort should be made to ensure the participants' experiences in the study are positive and to minimize discomfort and distress. Additionally, before studies are undertaken, an evaluation should be made to determine if the needed information can be obtained from less vulnerable populations. When studies are conducted in the pediatric population, every attempt should be made to include individuals representing the demographics of the region and the disease being studied. Studies in handicapped or institutionalized pediatric subjects should be limited to diseases or conditions found principally in these populations.

To be of benefit to those participating in a clinical study, the study must be properly designed and conducted to ensure that quality data are obtained. Following Good Clinical Practice (GCP) is crucial to obtaining quality and reliable data from the study.

C. The Role of the Institutional Review Board (IRB)

The principal role of the IRB is to protect the rights and welfare of human research subjects; this is especially true when studies involve children as subjects. To safeguard the child's interests and to protect children from harm, special ethical and regulatory considerations are in place for reviewing research involving children. The regulations at 21 CFR 50 Subpart D Additional Protections for Children Involved as Subjects of Research describe these considerations.

IRBs reviewing research involving children as subjects must consider the benefits, risks and discomforts inherent in the proposed research and assess their justification in light of the expected benefits to the child subject or to society as a whole. The IRB should weigh the circumstance of the subject under study, the magnitude of risks that may accrue for the research procedures, and the potential benefits the research may provide to the subjects.

The regulations require IRBs to classify research involving children into one of four categories and to document their discussions of the risks and benefits of the research study. The four categories are:

- Clinical investigations not involving greater than minimal risk (21 CFR 50.51)
- Clinical investigations involving greater than minimal risk but presenting the prospect of direct benefit to individual subjects. (21 CFR 50.52)
- Clinical investigations involving greater than minimal risk and no prospect of direct benefit to individual subjects, but likely to yield generalizable knowledge about the subjects' disorder or condition. (21 CFR 50.53)
- Clinical investigations not otherwise approvable that present an opportunity to understand, prevent, or alleviate a serious problem affecting the health or welfare of children. (21 CFR 50.54)

In all cases, IRBs must determine that adequate provisions have been made for soliciting the assent of children and the permission of their parents or guardians. (21 CFR 50.55)

D. Consent and Assent

We recommend that you ensure that the informed consent document is clearly written and that the risks and benefits are thoroughly explained. FDA recognizes that consent depends on a number of factors, including:

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

- age
- maturity level
- legal status of the subject (emancipated or mature minor)
- applicable law of the jurisdiction in which the research is conducted and which in turn determines legal age of consent
- comprehension level of the pediatric research participant, parents, guardians, and legally authorized representative.

We also recommend that you consider:

- prognosis and life expectancy of patients with certain diseases or disorders
- mental capacity, intellectual quotient, and level of functioning
- differing ages and maturity level
- direct benefits and risks of the pediatric participant's research involvement (research versus therapeutic treatment)
- ethical issues
- proper monitoring (data and safety monitoring).

When children or minors are involved in research, the regulations require the *assent* of the child or minor and the *permission* of the parent(s) in place of the consent of the subjects. 21 CFR 50.55. While children may be legally incapable of giving informed consent, they may possess the ability to assent to or dissent from participation. The regulations do not require that assent be sought from children starting at a specific age, but that their assent should be sought when the children are capable of providing their assent. Therefore, children should be asked whether or not they wish to participate in the research particularly if the research: (1) does not involve interventions likely to be of benefit to the subjects and (2) the children can comprehend and appreciate what it means to be a volunteer for the benefit of others.

Researchers may seek assent of children of various ages. Older children may be well acquainted with signing documents through prior experience with testing, licensing, and other procedures normally encountered in their lives. Signing a form to give their assent for research would not be perceived as unusual and would be reasonable. Younger children, however, may never have had the experience of signing a document. For these children, requiring a signature may not be appropriate, and some other technique to verify assent could be used. For example, a third party may verify, by signature, that the assent of the child was obtained.

Although there is no requirement that the informed consent document contain a space for assent by children, many investigators and IRBs consider it standard practice to obtain the agreement of older children who can understand the circumstances before enrolling

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

them in research. The basic requirement of 21 CFR 50.20 applies, i.e., the legally effective informed consent of the subject or the subject's legally authorized representative must be obtained before enrollment. Parents, legal guardians and/or others may have the ability to give permission to enroll children in research, depending on applicable state and local law of the jurisdiction in which the research is conducted. (Note: permission to enroll in research is not the same as permission to provide medical treatment.) IRBs generally require investigators to obtain the permission of one or both of the parents or guardian (as appropriate) and the assent of children who possess the intellectual and emotional ability to comprehend the concepts involved. Some IRBs require two documents, a fully detailed explanation for parents and older children to read and sign, and a shorter, simpler one for younger children.

For some research activities, IRBs may require that either an IRB member or an advocate for the child be present during the assent and permission procedures to verify the child understands and to support the child's preferences. The IRB may also require that the parent(s) or a close family member be present during the research, especially if a young child will be exposed to significant discomfort or inconvenience, or if the child will be required to spend time in an unfamiliar place (i.e., study site).

In all cases where assent is required, the proposed research should be explained to the child in language that is appropriate to the child's age, experience, maturity, and condition. This explanation should include a discussion of any discomfort and inconveniences the child may expect to experience if he or she agrees to participate. The subject should also be made aware of his or her rights to decline to participate or to withdraw from the study at any time. Additionally, the safety of the subject is always an overriding factor in the continuation of a subject in any study. If a subject wishes to withdraw from a study and, in the opinion of the investigator and the IRB, the safety and welfare of the pediatric subject would be jeopardized by his/her removal from the study, continued parental or legal guardian consent should be sufficient to allow participation in the study.

A useful reference on informed consent for children participating in research can be found in the guidance entitled, "A Pediatric Research- Assent Decision Matrix" (<http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/panels/407-01pnl/riskcat.htm>). This matrix is based on the relationship between benefit and risk ratio and the age of the subject. The matrix is arranged in descending order of ratio of benefits to risk as defined in Subpart D and the age potential for assent by child subjects.

XI. Other Resources

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

FDA Regulations

Protection of Human Subjects 21 CFR Part 50 (see especially Subpart D Additional Safeguards for Children in Clinical Investigations)

Institutional Review Boards 21 CFR Part 56

Additional Safeguards for Children in Clinical Investigations of FDA-Regulated Products; Interim Rule 66 FR 20589, April 24, 2001.

Guidance

Guidance on Medical Device Patient Labeling; Final Guidance for Industry and FDA Reviewers <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/ohip/guidance/1128.html>

Medical Device Use –Safety: Incorporating Human Factors Engineering into Risk Management <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/humfac/1497.html>

Recognition and Use of Consensus Standards; Final Guidance for Industry and FDA, 06/20/2001 <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/ost/guidance/321.html> and CDRH standards database [FDA Recognized Consensus Standards](#).

International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) Guidance for Industry: E11 Clinical Investigation of Medicinal Products in the Pediatric Population <http://www.fda.gov/cber/gdlns/ichclinped.pdf>

Guidance for Institutional Review Boards and Clinical Investigators, 1998 Update <http://www.fda.gov/oc/ohrt/irbs/default.htm>

Additional References

IRB Guidebook: Chapter VI Special Classes of Subjects, http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/irb/irb_chapter6.htm.

Age Limits of Pediatrics (RE8116). *Pediatrics* 1988;81(5):736 American Academy of Pediatrics, <http://www.aap.org/policy/02031.html>

A Pediatric Research “Assent” Decision Matrix, <http://ohrp.osophs.dhhs.gov/panels/407-01pnl/riskcat.htm>.

International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 10993-6: 1994 – Biological Testing of Medical Devices.

Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

CDRH Standards Program webpage <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/stdsprog.html>

American National Standards Institute (ANSI) - Association for the Advancement of Medical Instrumentation (AAMI) Electrosurgical Devices (ANSI/AAMI) HF18-1993, 4.1 and 4.2.